DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES

744 P Street, Sacramento, CA 95814



COUNTY FISCAL LETTER (CFL) NO. 04/05-23

TO: COUNTY WELFARE DIRECTORS

COUNTY WELFARE FISCAL OFFICERS

SUBJECT: FISCAL YEAR (FY) 2004/05 COUNTY TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE FOR

NEEDY FAMILIES (TANF) PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE PLANNING

ALLOCATION

REFERENCE: CFL No. 02/03-32, dated October 11, 2002; CFL No. 02/03-37, dated

November 7, 2003; CFL No. 02/03-51 dated May 5, 2003; CFL No. 03/04-32

dated October 6, 2003; and CFL No. 03/04-57, dated June 2, 2004

This letter is to inform counties of their FY 2004/05 TANF Performance Incentive planning allocation. In accordance with Welfare and Institutions Code Section 10544.2, Performance Incentive funds are available for encumbrance and expenditure by the county until all of the funds are expended, without regard to fiscal years.

The attachment displays the FY 2004/05 Performance Incentive planning allocation, which is based on each county's expenditures claimed on the Quarterly County Expense Claim (July 1, 2003 through June 30, 2004) and tracked against their FY 2003/04 Performance Incentive allocation. The available unspent Performance Incentive funds, as of June 30, 2004, become each county's FY 2004/05 Performance Incentive planning allocation.

The Department will continue to monitor the FY 2003/04 Performance Incentive expenditures. A final FY 2004/05 allocation will be transmitted to the counties once all FY 2003/04 supplemental claims have been processed. It is important to keep in mind that increases or decreases in your FY 2003/04 expenditures may result in a corresponding increase or decrease in your FY 2004/05 Performance Incentive allocation.

Questions regarding this allocation should be directed to <u>fiscal.systems@dss.ca.gov</u>.

Original signed by Eric Fujii for Gloria Merk on 9/20/04

GLORIA MERK
Deputy Director
Administration Division

Attachment

c: CWDA

FY 2004/05 PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE PLANNING ALLOCATION

COUNTIES	FY 03/04 PERFORMANCE INCENTIVES ALLOCATION CFL NO. 03/04-57	FY 03/04 PERFORMANCE INCENTIVES EXPENDITURES (9/03 Qtr 6/04 Qtr.)	BALANCE As of June 30, 2004	FY 04/05 PERFORMANCE INCENTIVES ALLOCATION
ALAMEDA	\$9,052,121	\$1,735,904	\$7,316,217	\$7,316,217
ALPINE	\$18,723	\$1,733,504	\$18,723	\$18,723
AMADOR	\$36,106	\$35,412	\$694	\$694
BUTTE	\$1,738,139	\$281,312	\$1,456,827	\$1,456,827
CALAVERAS	\$198,054	\$0	\$198,054	\$198,054
COLUSA	\$39,634	\$0	\$39,634	\$39,634
CONTRA COSTA*	\$2,914,415	(\$133,538)	\$3,047,953	\$3,047,953
DEL NORTE	\$168,753	\$30,435	\$138,318	\$138,318
EL DORADO	\$747,923	\$238,530	\$509,393	\$509,393
FRESNO GLENN	\$12,844,598 \$209,251	\$2,997,723 \$21,812	\$9,846,875 \$187,439	\$9,846,875 \$187,439
HUMBOLDT	\$88,193	\$49,575	\$38,618	\$38,618
IMPERIAL	\$1,558,393	\$644,597	\$913,796	\$913,796
INYO	\$169,515	\$73,249	\$96,266	\$96,266
KERN	\$6,062,481	\$22,929	\$6,039,552	\$6,039,552
KINGS	\$849,604	\$406,988	\$442,616	\$442,616
LAKE	\$69,715	\$0	\$69,715	\$69,715
LASSEN	\$61,867	\$49,350	\$12,517	\$12,517
LOS ANGELES	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
MADERA	\$1,046,052	\$45,271	\$1,000,781	\$1,000,781
MARIN	\$216,575	\$216,575	\$0	\$0
MARIPOSA MENDOCINO	\$4,948 \$526,572	\$0 \$526,572	\$4,948 \$0	\$4,948 \$0
MERCED	\$4,278,117	\$9,580	\$4,268,537	\$4,268,537
MODOC	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
MONO	\$451	\$0	\$451	\$451
MONTEREY	\$880,624	\$698,290	\$182,334	\$182,334
NAPA	\$169,909	\$34,326	\$135,583	\$135,583
NEVADA	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ORANGE	\$3,225,657	\$0	\$3,225,657	\$3,225,657
PLACER	\$602,174	\$0	\$602,174	\$602,174
PLUMAS RIVERSIDE	\$31,871 \$17,341,029	\$0 \$3,187,235	\$31,871 \$14,153,794	\$31,871 \$14,153,794
SACRAMENTO	\$12,278,184	\$5,629,439	\$6,648,745	\$6,648,745
SAN BENITO	\$410,453	\$47,557	\$362,896	\$362,896
SAN BERNARDINO	\$24,490,586	\$1,404,147	\$23,086,439	\$23,086,439
SAN DIEGO*	\$13,770,957	\$1,741,783	\$12,029,174	\$12,029,174
SAN FRANCISCO	\$2,043,746	\$883,926	\$1,159,820	\$1,159,820
SAN JOAQUIN	\$3,601,770	\$0	\$3,601,770	\$3,601,770
SAN LUIS OBISPO	\$990,587	\$41,189	\$949,398	\$949,398
SAN MATEO	\$228,389	\$0	\$228,389	\$228,389
SANTA BARBARA SANTA CLARA	\$2,035,725 \$7,389,614	\$0 \$1,580,364	\$2,035,725 \$5,809,250	\$2,035,725 \$5,809,250
SANTA CRUZ	\$535,418	\$40,143	\$495,275	\$495,275
SHASTA	\$429,298	\$13,279	\$416,019	\$416,019
SIERRA	\$21,920	\$4,126	\$17,794	\$17,794
SISKIYOU	\$91,569	\$0	\$91,569	\$91,569
SOLANO	\$2,642,610	\$467,972	\$2,174,638	\$2,174,638
SONOMA	\$138,296	\$138,296	\$0	\$0
STANISLAUS	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
SUTTER	\$296,002	\$35,829	\$260,173	\$260,173
TEHAMA TRINITY	\$0 \$3,909	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$3,909	\$0 \$3,909
TULARE	\$3,909 \$5,135,811	\$1,504,180	\$3,909 \$3,631,631	\$3,631,631
TUOLUMNE	\$61,334	\$1,504,180 \$44,156	\$3,031,031	\$3,031,031
VENTURA	\$2,035,201	\$596,989	\$1,438,212	\$1,438,212
YOLO	\$1,709,900	\$0	\$1,709,900	\$1,709,900
YUBA	\$161	\$161	\$0	\$0
TOTAL	\$145,492,908	\$31,632,267	\$120,147,245	\$120,147,245

^{*}Note: Expenditures for Contra Costa and San Diego Counties include manual abatements backing out charges from the prior year. In the case of Contra Costa County, the abatement amount was greater than the county's FY 2003/04 expenditures, which resulted in a negative expenditure figure for FY 2003/04.